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#### Abstract

All four stereoisomers of carnosadine were shown to be accessible from the lactone 1 or the diester 10 (or their enantiomers). Members of the cis series (Z-cyclo-Arg') were obtained via a sequence involving opening lactone 1 with ammonia, Hofmann rearrangement, and incorporation of the guanidine group via an azide (3). The trans series (i.e. The E-cyclo-Arg' series) was prepared via a route which is similar, except that it begins with hydrolysis of the less hindered ester functionality of diester 10. Products from both series were manipulated into protected forms for peptide synthesis using the BOC or the FMOC approach.


## Introduction

Several studies have shown that stereoisomers of $2,3-$ methanoamino acids ${ }^{1}$ can be substituted into peptides to give peptidomimetics which are relatively resilient to proteolytic degradation, ${ }^{2-6}$ a property which could be exploited to prepare substances with increased bioavailability. Incorporation of 2,3 -methanoamino acids into peptides also decreases their conformational flexibility. ${ }^{7,8}$ Recent work from our laboratories ${ }^{9}$ provides an illustration of this point: rigidity imposed by incorporating a $2,3-$ methanoamino acid greatly facilitated conformational analysis byNMR, ${ }^{10,11}$ even in situations in which the parent (natural) peptide had no detectable secondary structure. Thus the nature of conformational restrictions by methanologs can be identified even in situations wherein the solution structure of the parent peptide cannot be analyzed by NMR due to equilibration between several wellpopulated conformations. This was an important observation because it implies that the shape of small peptide analogs could be systematically adjusted by adding different methanolog stereoisomers.
An intriguing possibility emerges from the discussion above. If a rigid peptidomimetic could be conformationally tailored to fit a particular receptor site, it should encounter minimum entropy factors opposing the docking process. Such entropy effects are critical to ligand receptor interactions. ${ }^{12}$ Methanolog-containing peptidomimetics therefore have the potential to be highly potent, and bioavailable, pharmaceuticals.

[^0]Our group is investigating ${ }^{6,13}$ cyclopropane-based analogs of FMRF-NH ${ }_{2}$ and FLFQPQRF- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (one letter code for amino acids) to explore the properties of cyclopropane amino acids outlined above. Neuropeptides of this kind control opiate tolerance and withdrawal syndrome in the rat and other species, ${ }^{14,15}$ two pharmacological properties that it would be advantageous to manipulate in humans. This activity is not a result of interactions with the $\mu$-opioid receptors; they appear to interact with their own receptor site, for which the Arg-RF-NH2 C -terminus is essential for good binding. ${ }^{16}$ Systematic application of conformational constraints to the -RF- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ "warhead" therefore would have revealing effects on the binding of peptidomimetics to the appropriate receptor(s).


Gram quantities of suitable arginine surrogates are required for the project outlined above, and for related efforts. Previously our group prepared a derivative of (Z)-2,3-methanoarginine, specifically 2 R,3S-BOC-cyclo-Arg-(Ts)- $\mathrm{OH} .{ }^{17}$ This arginine surrogate is hard to prepare on a large scale by this route, ${ }^{17}$ however, and the side chain still has appreciable flexibility. An analog of arginine which is both more accessible and constrained is therefore desirable. The design of such a compound is to be found in Nature; carnosadine from a red marine alga. ${ }^{18}$ Car-

[^1]nosadine and its stereoisomers are more closely related to arginine than is 2,3-methanoarginine (cyclo-Arg) insofar as the latter has an extra carbon, and they are more rigid at the side chain.

Synthesis of carnosadine and its stereoisomers (i.e. the cyclo-Arg' series) (Chart 1) is a nontrivial exercise. Racemic carnosadine has been obtained via a 12 -step route, then resolved, ${ }^{19}$ but this is impractical for preparations of the gram quantities. Consequently, the work described in this paper was undertaken to develop convenient routes to carnosadine and its stereoisomers in protected forms suitable for peptide syntheses.

## Results and Discussion

Most of the syntheses reported in this paper were performed twice. A small-scale run first to obtain/ characterize the products and to develop conditions. A second series of experiments were then executed to test the feasibility of scale-up.

Derivatives of Z-cyclo-Arg'. Scheme 1 depicts the azide 3 which is a key intermediate for syntheses of derivatives of the Z-cyclo-Arg' series. The mesylate of alcohol 2 was prepared in our previous synthesis of Z-cyclo-Met: i.e. opening the key lactone $1^{20}$ with ammonia, protection, Hofmann rearrangement, and deprotection. The yields shown in Scheme 1 are for the small-scale synthesis, with purifications. Comparable yields were obtained in the large-scale synthesis wherein alcohol 2 was obtained in $53 \%$ yield after recrystallization, and azide 3 ( $98 \%$, crude) was used without purification. Multigram quantities of this azide were thereby prepared without column chromatography.

## Scheme 1. Synthesis of Azide 3




Arginine surrogates suitable for peptide syntheses using the FMOC or the BOC protection approach were required. Consequently, intermediate 3 was processed in two different ways to furnish suitably protected products. Scheme 2 shows the synthesis of BOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Ts). Azide 3 was reduced to an amine which was immediately reacted with S,S-dimethyl $N$-(4-methylbenzenesulfonyl)carbonimidodithioate to give the masked guanidine 4. Reaction with ammonia transformed this product into the tosylated guanidine 5. This crude product was then deprotected with acid and $N^{\alpha}$-protected to give the desired BOC-protected compound. This reprotection of the amine was one of the least efficient in the synthesis and reflects steric encumbrance of the $\alpha$-amine group by the cyclopropane nucleus. Yields shown in Scheme 2 are for the

[^2]small-scale procedure. In the large-scale reactions, introduction of the $N$-BOC group proceeded with better yield ( $62 \%$ ), and only one single chromatographic separation, at the very end of this synthesis, was required to produce pure BOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Ts).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of BOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Ts)


Scheme 3 shows the analogous preparation of FMOC-Z-cyclo- $\mathrm{Arg}^{\prime}$ (Mtr). Yields shown in Scheme 3 are for a large-scale synthesis using minimal purification steps. At the end of the sequence, pure FMOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Mtr) was obtained via chromatography after the last step. The least efficient step in the whole synthesis was for incorporation of the FMOC protecting group on the hindered $\alpha$-amino group.
Scheme 3. Synthesis of FMOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Mtr)


Derivatives of E-Cyclo-Arg'. Functionalized (E)-2,3methanologs generally were more difficult to make than their $Z$ isomers because in the latter case undesirable lactonization reactions predominated when an electrophilic side chain was produced cis to an amide substituent. An aborted synthesis illustrates this problem. ${ }^{21}$ Amide 8 was mesylated to introduce side-chain functionality via nucleophilic displacement; instead lactone 9 was formed, the compound originally used to prepare amide 8! Undesirable

[^3]
lactonization reactions of this kind can be prevented by temporarily dehydrating the amide functionality to a nitrile, but this sequence requires several steps that do not directly advance the construction of the product framework. ${ }^{22}$

Scheme 4 illustrates an alternative approach developed in the current study. Undesirable lactonization processes were avoided by performing side-chain manipulations on molecules with (less nucleophilic) ester groups cis to the electrophilic side chain. Previous work from our groups has shown that multigram amounts of diester 10 are available in opitcally pure form from mannitol. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Hy}$ drolysis of the least encumbered ester functionality of $10^{23}$ and Curtius rearrangement gave the BOC-protected amino ester 11. Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group, mesylation, nucleophilic displacement, and hydrolysis generated the corresponding azide 12. The alcohol and mesylate intermediates in this synthesis were used immediately; otherwise byproducts from lactonization processes were observed. Yields shown in Scheme 4 refer to the largescale synthesis. The azide 12 was purified by an extraction procedure involving temporary formation of the sodium salt. After the next step, the functionalized acid 13 was purified by recrystallization. No chromatography was required; hence compound 12 was easily produced on a ca. $10-\mathrm{g}$ scale. A sample of this was transformed into BOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Ts) by reduction and introduction of a guanidine group as outlined in Scheme 4. The final product was purified via recrystallization; consequently no chromatography was performed in any of the steps leading to this material.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of BOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Ts)
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BOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Ts)
The azide 12 was also used to prepare an E-cyclo-Arg' derivative protected for FMOC-based peptide syntheses. This sequence involved reduction of the azide, introduction of the guanidine group, removal of the BOC protecting

[^4]group, and masking of the amine functionality with an FMOC (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Synthesis of FMOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Mtr)


Another synthesis of carnosadine was reported after this work was completed ${ }^{24}$ and provides a useful comparison. It begins with a preparation of a nucleophile substituted with a chiral auxiliary. This is deprotonated and reacted with glycidol triflate (or with epibromohydrin) to form four diastereomeric products. ${ }^{25}$ After this point the synthesis involved extensive chromatographic separations of diastereomeric mixtures. Finally, an intermediate analogous to alcohol 2 in Scheme 1 was produced.

Our group has also used glycidol triflate as a starting material for syntheses of 2,3 -methanoamino acids, ${ }^{17,22}$ but later developed an alternative route ${ }^{20}$ since this triflate is expensive to prepare in optically active form and commercially available glycidol is typically of less than $95 \%$ enantiomeric purity. The route described here uses lactone 1 and diester 10 which can be prepared in 100-g amounts in optically pure form. Both enantiomers of these starting materials are accessible, and the subsequent steps in the syntheses do not perturb the stereocenters. Consequently, enantiomerically pure samples of all four cyclo-Arg' stereoisomers can be obtained via the route described in this paper. Syntheses of protected carnosadine, and stereoisomers of this, via the procedures described in this paper do not involve chromatographic separations of diastereomeric intermediates. In fact, little or no chromatography is necessary, which is an enormous advantage with respect to scale-up. The overall yields from D mannitol to the final products are in the range $2.6-6.0 \%$ (BOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Ts), $3.5 \%$; FMOC-Z-cyclo-Arg'(Mtr), $2.6 \%$; BOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Ts), 5.8\%; FMOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Mtr), $6.0 \%$ ). Finally, the products that were obtained are protected for peptide syntheses using either the BOC or the FMOC approach.

The syntheses described here lay foundations for pharmacological/biophysical studies of many different peptidomimetics containing constrained arginine surrogates. One application was outlined in the Introduction

[^5]of this paper, but there are many others. For instance, this work could be used to develop conformational probes of the Arg-Gly-Asp sequence central to glycoprotein IIbIIIa-fibrinogen interactions. ${ }^{26-29}$

## Experimental Section

General Procedures. Melting points were uncorrected. High-field NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XLAA 200 or a Gemini GEMA $200\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at 200 MHz , ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 50 MHz ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(7.25 \mathrm{ppm})$ as internal standard, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(77.0 \mathrm{ppm})$ unless specified otherwise. Multiplicities in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are reported as (br) broad, (s) singlet, (d) doublet, (t) triplet, (q) quartet, and (m) multiplet. Where necessary, the carbon multiplicities were determined via APT experiments. Thin layer chromatography was performed on silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates from Whatman. Flash chromatography was performed on SP silica gel 60 ( $230-600$-mesh ASTM). DMF was stored over 4- $\AA$ molecular sieves for a week before use; $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Other chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.
(1S,2S)-tert-Butyl 2-(Azidomethyl)-1-[ $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (3). The mesylate from the alcohol $2^{22}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.355 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 1 mL of DMF, and sodium azide ( $27.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.426 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added with stirring at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the DMF was evaporated under vacuum, diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) was added to the crude product, and the solution was filtered through a short silica gel column with diethyl ether as eluent. After evaporation of the solvent, 102 mg of product was obtained (crude yield, $94 \%$ ): mp $72-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.06$ (br s, 1H), 3.44-3.25 (bd, 2H), 2.04-1.83 (bm, 1H), 1.71-1.55 (br, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.92(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.0,156.3,81.7,80.1,50.5,38.5,28.2,27.9,25.5$, 21.1 ; IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) 2986, 2097, 1720, 1484, 1367, $1289,1246 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{2}-4.79^{\circ} \quad\left(c=1.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 53.83; H, 7.74. Found: C, $53.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.67$. In the largescale synthesis, a mixture of the mesylate of alcohol 2 ( 31.83 g ), sodium azide ( 6.3 g ), and DMF ( 200 mL ) was stirred for 15 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After concentration of the solution, the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $400 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), and the organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated under vacuum to give 26.33 g of crude product 3 (crude yield, $98 \%$ for two steps). Caution is recommended when manipulating this azide since it could conceivably decompose violently when activated by heat, shock, or friction. No such problems were encountered in this work, however.
(1S,2S)-tert-Butyl 1-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-[[[(methylthio)(toluenesulfonylimido)methyl]amino]methyl]-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4). The azidomethyl derivative $3(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.327 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 2 mL of methanol. To this solution was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv), and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The resulting solution was filtered through Celite and silica gel in a short column and then washed with 10 mL of methanol. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude amine formed was used for the next step without purification. In the large-scale synthesis, a mixture of crude $3(5.30 \mathrm{~g})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(530 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere for 12 h . The reaction solution was filtered and dried to yield 5.23 g of the crude amine: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.48$ (br s, 1 H ), 2.98-2.82 (br m, 1H), 2.55-2.37 (br m, 1H), 1.82 (br s, 2 H ), $1.90-1.64$ (overlapping, 1 H ), $1.50-1.30$ (overlapping, 1 H ), 1.37 (s, 9 H ), $1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.66$ (br, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.8,156.7,81.0,79.6,41.4,38.8,30.3,28.2,27.9$, 20.4.

[^6]A mixture of the crude amine ( 0.327 mmol ) and $S, S$-dimethyl $N$-(4-methylbenzenesulfonyl)carbonimidodithioate ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.392$ mmol, 1.2 equiv) in xylene ( 5 mL ) was heated to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 $h$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvent was removed, and the product was purified via flash chromatography using $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ (1:1-2:1) as eluent. After evaporation of the solvent, 105 mg of the product was obtained ( $61 \%$ yield from 3): $\mathrm{mp} 78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.37-$ 8.21, 7.49-7.28 (br, 1H, 2 rotamers), 7.80 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.29-5.20,5.10-4.98$ (br, $1 \mathrm{H}, 2$ rotamers), 4.62-4.42 (br, 1 H ), 3.48-3.27 (br, 1H), 2.40-2.34 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 2$ rotamers), $2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.85(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.28$ (br, 1 H$), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $0.96-0.65$ (br, $1 \mathrm{H}, 2$ rotatmers); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 170.7, 169.1, 165.2, 157.9, 156.3, 142.6, 142.1, 139.9, 139.6, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 126.9, 126.8, 126.5, 126.4, 81.9, 80.9, 80.5, 43.1, 42.3, 39.0, 28.2, 27.9, 26.7, 26.0, 21.5, 20.1, 19.1, 14.3; IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) 1712, 1579, 1494, 1291, $1249 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+45.9^{\circ}\left(c=1.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, $53.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.87 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.18$. Found: C, $54.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.00$. In a larger-scale synthesis, a mixture of the crude amine ( 5.23 g ) and the tosyl derivative ( 4.67 $\mathrm{g}, 1$ equiv) in xylene ( 150 mL ) was refluxed for 5 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the crude product 4 was used for next step without purification.
(1S,2S)-tert-Butyl 1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl) amino]-2-[[2-(4-methylbenzenesulfonyl guanidino]methyl]cyclopro-pane-1-carboxylate (5). The methylthio derivative 4 ( 105 mg , 0.204 mmol ) was dissolved in 4 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, and the solution was saturated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{An}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{3} \mathrm{CN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.225 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added over 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the mixture was stirred for 3 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting yellow solid (AgSMe) was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and the crude product was purified via column chromatography using $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}(30 \%-70 \%)$ to give 96 mg of a white solid ( $98 \%$ yield). In a larger-scale reaction, the crude product 4 and 4.04 g of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ were used to yield 7.12 g of crude 5 (crude yield; $87 \%$ for three steps): $\mathrm{mp} 175-177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.72-6.20$ (br, 3H), $5.97-5.65$ (br, 1H), 3.83-3.45 (br, 1H), 2.982.72 (br, 1 H ), $2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.64$ (br, 1 H ), 1.58-1.25 (overlapping, 1 H ), 1.38 (s, 9 H ), 1.36 (s, 9 H ), $0.92-0.68$ (br, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.8,158.2,156.7,142.7,129.8,126.7,82.1$, 80.9, 40.7, 39.2, 28.5, 28.2, 27.5, 21.6, 20.2; IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) 1706, 1550 , $1253,1181 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+36.8^{\circ}\left(c=0.66, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 54.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.10 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.61$. Found: C, 54.37 ; H, 7.31; N, 11.43.
(1S,2S)-1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-[[2-(4-meth-ylbenzenesulfonyl)guanidino]methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid. The bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-protected compound $5(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.199 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TFA ( 0.2 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was concentrated and dried under vacuum, and the crude product was used for the following step after lyophilization. In a largerscale synthesis, 7.12 g of crude 5 was added to a $50 \%$ TFA solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and then lyophilized to give 8.85 g of the crude solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}(7: 3)\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

The above sample from the small-scale reaction was dissolved in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$; then $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$, 3.0 equiv) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Di-tert-butyldicarbonate ( $130.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.597 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 26 h at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After lyophilization, the crude protected amine was purified via silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{1} 4 \mathrm{AcOH}$, $95: 4.0: 1.0-95: 0: 5.0$ eluant $)$ to give $34 \mathrm{mg}(40 \%)$ of the final product. In a larger-scale synthesis, the crude amino acid salt ( 8.85 g ), $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}(65 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(26.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and 3.69 g of di-tertbutyl dicarbonate were used. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then concentrated to remove the $t-\mathrm{BuOH}$. After addition of water ( 50 mL ), the aquous layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$, acidified with 1 M citric acid $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then extracted with EtOAc ( 150 mL ). The organic layer was
washed with water and brine and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the crude product was separated via silicagel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}, 92: 6.0: 2.0$ eluant). After concentration, EtOAc ( 100 mL ) was added, and the organic solution was washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 6)$ to remove AcOH , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to about $10 \mathrm{~mL} . n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to precipitate 3.90 g of pure Boc-Z-Cyclo-Arg'(Ts) ( $62 \%$ for two steps): $\operatorname{mp} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $d_{8}$ ) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.70(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.28-3.10 (m, 1H), 3.03-2.84 (m, 1H), 2.32 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.78-1.59 (m, 1 H ), 1.43-1.23 (overlapping, 1 H ), $1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz ; DMSO-d ${ }_{8}$ ) $\delta 173.8,156.4,141.1,129.0,125.5$, $78.2,38.3,37.1,28.0,26.2,20.8,19.8$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) $1638,1263 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $[\alpha]^{25}+19.1^{\circ}\left(c=1.48, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 50.69 ; H, 6.15 ; N, 13.14. Found: C, 50.53 ; H, 6.54; N, 12.68 .
(1S,2S)-tert-Butyl 1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2[[[(methylthio) [(4-methoxy-2,3,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)-imino]methyl]amino]methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (6). The azidomethyl derivative $3(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.654 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 5 mL of methanol, and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048$ mmol, 0.073 equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The resulting solution was filtered through Celite and silica gel in a short column and then washed with 10 mL of methanol. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude product was used for the next step without purification. In the larger-scale synthesis, 7.0 g of the crude azide 3 and 700 mg of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ were used to give the crude amine by a procedure that was otherwise the same as that used in the small-scale synthesis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{8}$ ) $\delta 5.48$ (br s, 1 H ), 2.98-2.82 (br m, 1H), 2.55-2.37 (br m, 1H), 1.82 (br s, 2 H ), $1.90-1.64$ (overlapping, 1 H ), $1.50-1.30$ (overlapping, 1 H ), 1.37 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.66(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.8,156.7,81.0,79.6,41.4,38.8,30.3,28.2,27.9,20.4$.

A mixture of the crude amine ( 0.654 mmol ) and $S, S$-dimethyl $N$-(4-methoxy-2,3,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)carbonimidodithioate ( $262 \mathrm{mg}, 0.785 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) in xylene ( 5 mL ) was heated to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvent was removed, and the product was purified via flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{1} d / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1.0\right.$ : 1.0:0.1 eluant). After evaporation of the solvent, 204 mg of the product was obtained ( $54.6 \%$ yield from 3). In a larger-scale synthesis, the crude amine and the Mtr derivative were used to yield the crude coupling product 6 by the procedure used previously in the synthesis of 4: mp $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) $\delta 8.32-8.20(\mathrm{br}, 0.43 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.13$ (br, 0.57 H ), $6.92-6.57(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-6.07(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.60-3.32(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.27(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-$ 1.33 (overlapping, 1 H ), $1.08-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , acetone- $d_{8}$ ) $\delta 181.4,171.6,159.1,139.1,137.8,134.0,124.7,112.3$, $81.3,80.0,55.7,42.9,39.3,32.0,28.3,27.9,24.0,19.6,18.5,14.1$, 11.8 ; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right) 1712,1579,1295 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+28.7^{\circ}(c=1.2$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, $54.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.23 ; \mathrm{N}$, 7.35. Found: C, $54.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.55$; N, 7.14 .
(1S,2S)-tert-Butyl 1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl) amino]-2-[[2-(4-methoxy-2,3,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)guanidino]-methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (7). The methylthio derivative 6 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ CN , and the solution was saturated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{An}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{3^{-}}$ $\mathrm{CN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ ( $47.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.279 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added over $20 \min$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred for 3.5 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting yellow solid (AgSMe) was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give 182 mg of a white solid. This solid was resolidified from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ solution. In a larger-scale synthesis, crude 6 and 4.85 g of silver nitrate were used to give 10.34 g of crude product by a procedure that was analogous to that used in the synthesis of 5 (crude yield, $85 \%$ for three steps): $\mathrm{mp} 148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.22 (dd, $J=9.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz, DMSO-d d $_{6} \delta$ 191.5, 171.2, 157.4, 156.2, 156.0, 137.5, 123.5 , $111.6,79.5,78.2,55.3,40.1,38.0,28.0,27.5,25.8,23.6,18.0,11.6 ;$ IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) $3444,3313,2983,1695,1546,1384,1264,1182,1123$
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.22^{\circ}\left(c=1.48, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40}{ }^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 55.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.46 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.36$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 55.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.55 ; \mathrm{N}$, 10.26 .
(1S,2S)-1-[ $N$-[(9-Fluorenylmethoxy)carbonyl]amino]-2-[[2-(4-methoxy-2,3,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl]guanidino]-methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid. The BOC-protected amine 7 ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an NMR tube. TFA ( 0.3 mL ) was added and the reaction was allowed to stand at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the $t$-BOC peak had disappeared (ca. 16 h ). The solution was evaporated, and the crude product was purified on Dowex $50 \times 8-100, \mathrm{H}^{+}$form. After lyophilization, 17 mg of the Z-cyclo-Arg ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mtr})$ was obtained (58\%). In a largescale synthesis, crude 7 ( 5.17 g ) was dissolved in a $50 \%$ TFA solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the solution was stirred for 3 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After concentration of the reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400$ mL ) was added and the solution was lyophilized. The crude product was separated on an ion exchange column and lyophilized to yield 2.06 g of the deprotected amino acid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-$ $2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.65(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

The above compound from the small-scale reaction ( 0.045 mmol ) and diisopropylethylamine ( $5.77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were mixed in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and stirred for 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. FMOC-OSu ( $15.05 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the solution was stirred for 12 h . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$; $90: 10: 2.0$ ) and then recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ to give 24 mg ( $89 \%$ ) of FMOC-Z-cyclo-Arg ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{Mtr}): \mathrm{mp} 141-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.84$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) $7.75-$ $7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.21(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.43-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.61(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.81(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.86(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (50 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 188.6,173.6,158.6,141.8,138.9,136.8,135.5$, $128.3,127.7,125.9,124.6,120.5,112.2,67.0,55.6,47.7,40.1,30.3$, 28.1, 24.0, 20.3, 18.4, 11.8; IR (CHBr ${ }_{3}$ ) 1725, 1657, 1640, 1535, $1306 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}} 5.8^{\circ}\left(c=0.95, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34}-$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.97$. Found: C, $60.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.89$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.99$. In a large-scale synthesis, 2.0 g of the deprotected amino acid, diisopropylethylamine ( 0.917 mL ), FMOC-OSu ( 1.771 g ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to yield 1.22 g of the final product via a similar procedure ( $21 \%$ for two steps).
( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-(-)-Diethyl 2-(Benzyloxymethyl)cyclopropane-1,1dicarboxylate (10). Diethyl malonate ( $11.23 \mathrm{~g}, 70.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a well-stirred solution of sodium hydride ( 3.39 g , $147.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv) in dimethoxyethane ( 250 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 20 min of stirring at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ( S )-4-(benzylozy-methyl)-2,2-dioxo-1,3,2-dioxathiolane ${ }^{30}$ ( $17.12 \mathrm{~g}, 70.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added slowly over 50 min , and the solution was heated to reflux for 20 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with EtOAc ( $200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), and the extract was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, 19.05 g of the crude product was obtained (yield, $89 \%$ ). In a larger-scale synthesis, 58.45 g of cyclic sulfate and 38.34 g of diethyl malonate were used to yield 69.28 g of crude product by an analogous procedure (crude yield, $98 \%$ ). The crude product was used for next step without purification. An analytically pure sample was obtained via flash chromatography ( $\left.10-20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.31$ (br s, 5 H ), 4.45 (br s, 2 H ), $4.25-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.27-2.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ (dd, $J=7.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 1.32 (dd, $J=9.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.27-1.11$ (two overlapping triplets, $J=7.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $170.0,167.8,139.2,128.8,128.0,127.9,72.9,68.4,61.7,61.5,33.6$, $26.9,18.3,14.1$; IR (neat) $2983,1724,1712,1370,1318,1285$, 1206, $1129,1113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-35.5^{\circ}\left(c=1.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 66.65; H, 7.24. Found: C, 66.62; H, 7.36.
(1R,2R)-Ethyl 2-(Benzyloxymethyl)-1-[ $\boldsymbol{N}$-(tert-butoxy-carbonyl)amino]cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (11). The crude

[^7]diethyl dicarboxylate derivative $10 * 6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 43.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) were mixed in $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20$ $\mathrm{mL} / 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and maintained at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and extracted with ether ( 50 mL ). The aqueous layer was acidified with concd. HCl , extracted with EtOAc ( $80 \mathrm{~mL} X 2$ ), and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, 5.2 g of the crude product was obtained ( $98 \%$ ). In a larger-scale synthesis, 69.28 g of 10 was used to give 43.31 g of the product by an analogous procedure (crude yield, $69 \% ; 9 \%$ of starting material was recovered by extraction). This product was used for the next step without purification. A small amount of the crude ( $1 S, 2 R$ )-(+)-2-(benzyloxymethyl)-1-(ethoxycarbonyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} / \mathrm{AcOH} ; 50: 50: 1.0$ ) and characterized spectroscopically: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.21-4.05 (two q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78 (dd, $J=10.7$, $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.89 (dd, $J=9.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.76 (dd, $J=8.1,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.18 $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.6,172.0,137.6$, $128.4,127.9,127.7,72.8,67.6,62.7,34.1,30.3,22.2,13.9 ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right)$ $3097,2983,1706,1624,1553,1265,1166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+11.0^{\circ}(c=$ $1.35, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

The crude carboxylic acid derivative prepared above $(6.08 \mathrm{~g}$, 21.87 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $3.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were mixed with dry $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Diphenyl phosphorazidate ( $6.62 \mathrm{~g}, 24.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 12 h . The solution was concentrated and the crude product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was separated via flash chromatography ( $20-50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ) to give 4.134 g of product as a colorless oil (yield, $54 \%$ ). In a largescale synthesis, 44.61 g of starting material was used to yield 48.21 g of the crude product which was used for next step without purification (yield, $86 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.30(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ 3.67 (br m, 1H), 3.56-3.40 (br m, 1H), 1.86-1.67 (br m, 1H), 1.671.54 (br, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 1.40-1.20 (br, 1H), 1.20 (t, J $=11.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{18} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2,155.9,138.3,128.3$, $127.8,127.6,79.8,72.9,67.7,61.3,38.2,29.9,28.3,21.4,14.2,14.2 ;$ IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) 3037, 2869, 1717, 1490, 1368, 1324, 1250, 1179, 1098 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha)^{25} \mathrm{D}+6.2^{\circ}\left(c=1.31, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 645.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.01$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 65.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.82 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.36.
(1R,2S)-2-(Azidomethyl)-1-[ $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)ami-no]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid (12). A mixture of the BOC-protected amide 11 ( $1.914 \mathrm{~g}, 5.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 70 mg ) in EtOAc ( 40 mL ) was stirred for 48 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a hydrogen balloon. The solution was concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was filtered through a pad of silica gel and Celite and washed with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was evaporated to give 1.48 g of crude ( $1 \boldsymbol{R}, 2 \boldsymbol{R}$ )-ethyl $1-[N$-(tert-butoxycar-bonyl)amino]-2-(hydroxymethyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate $(100 \%)$ which was used for next step without purification. In a larger-scale synthesis, 25.0 g of starting material and 2.5 g of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ were used to yield 20 g of crude product by an analogous method: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{9}\right) \delta 5.41$ (br s, 1H), $4.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ (dd, $J=11.6,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.58 (dd, $J=8.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,5.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.22 (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $171.8,156.4,80.1,61.6,59.5,37.8,33.8,28.2,21.3,14.1 ;$ IR (CHBr 3$)$ $3029,2983,1722,1489,1370,1323,1265,1185,1024 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $+9.6^{\circ}\left(c=1.60, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

To a solution of the hydroxymethyl derivative ( $1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 5.48$ mmol) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.666 \mathrm{~g}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv), followed by methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.753 \mathrm{~g}, 6.58$ mmol, 1.2 equiv). After 10 h of stirring at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue was filtered through a pad of silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was evaporated to give the crude product ( 1.778 g , $96 \%$ ) which was used for the next step without purification. To a solution of the mesylate $(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 4.154 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(449 \mathrm{mg}, 6.907 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.66$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The
solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was filtered through a pad of silica gel with the elution of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (20 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated and then purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}, 1: 1$ ). Removal of the solvent gave $1.05 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of the product as a colorless oil. In a larger synthesis, 20 g of starting material was used to give 25 g of the crude mesylate, which was mixed with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(5.59 \mathrm{~g})$ in DMF ( 70 mL ) and then stirred for 18 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction solution was concentrated and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and the organic solution was concentrated to give 19.94 g of the crude azide (1R,2S)-ethyl 2-(azidomethyl)-1-[ $\mathbf{N}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino]cyclopropane-1-carboxylate ( $98 \%$ yield from 11): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.26$ (br s, 1 H ), 4.27-4.06 (m, 2H), 3.64-3.38 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.60 (dd, $J=7.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.33-1.17 (overlapping m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}):{ }^{18} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2$, $155.8,80.3,61.8,49.0,38.0,29.6,28.2,22.2,14.1$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) $2981,2095,1719,1499,1392,1369,1320,1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+11.7^{\circ}$ ( $c=1.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 50.69 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.71$. Found: C, $50.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.24 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.51$.

To a solution of the azide ester as prepared above $(600 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.11 mmol ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a 1 N NaOH solution ( $2.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/n- $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ) $\mathrm{AcOH}, 25: 50: 1$, then 50:50:1). Removal of the solvent gave 495 mg ( $92 \%$ ) of the product 12 as a colorless solid. In a larger synthesis, 19.94 g of the crude ester was mixed with EtOH (84 mL ) and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(91.25 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 h of stirring at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction solution was washed with $33 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}(100$ $\mathrm{mL} \times 3$ ), saturated $(\mathrm{NaCl})$, acidified (concd. HCl ), and extracted with EtOAc ( $300 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the organic solution was concentrated to give the crude acid 12. This was recrystallized ( $50 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ) to give $14.89 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%$ ) of pure 12: mp $135-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) $\delta 6.78$ (br s, 1H), 3.63 (dd, $J=13.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.96-1.77$ (m, 1H0, 1.50 (dd, $J=7.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.34 (dd, $J=9.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , acetone- $d_{8}$ ) $\delta 173.2,156.2,79.0,49.7,38.0,29.7,28.3,22.2 ;$ IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ), 2087, $1697,1645,1296,1255,1207,1161 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16^{-}}$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 46.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.29$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 46.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.14$. Caution is recommended when manipulating this azide since it could conceivably decompose violently when activated by heat, shock, or friction. No such problems were encountered in this work, however.
(1R,2S)-1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-[[[(meth-ylthio)(toluenesulfonylimino)methyl]amino]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid (13). To a solution of 12 ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}: 0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{mg})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h under an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with $90 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After concentration of the filtrate, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was lyophilized to give 290 mg of the product (crude yield, $100 \%$ ). In a larger synthesis, 5 g of 12 and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 1.0 g) were used to give 4.26 g of the crude amine by a similar procedure (yield, $95 \%$ ): mp $138-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 3.30-3.05(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.27$ (overlapping $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 176.9,158.1,81.2,39.3,38.2,27.7,25.2,20.0$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-11.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.26, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.

To a solution of the compound from the small-scale synthesis ( $55.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.242 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $S, S$-dimethyl $N$-(toluenesulfonyl)carbonimidothioate ( $106.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.386 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.59$ equiv) in EtOH ( 3 mL ) was added a 2 N NaOH solution ( $0.133 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.266 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.1 equiv), and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 13 h. After concentration, the residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} / \mathrm{AcOH}, 30: 70: 1$, then $50: 50: 1$ ). Evaporation of the solvent gas 83 mg of the product ( $75 \%$ ). In a larger synthesis, a mixture of the crude amine ( 4.26 g ), the tosyl derivative ( 5.61 g ), and $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOh} \mathrm{( } 10.18 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added and the aqueous solution was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (40 $\mathrm{mL} \times 5$ ), acidified with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with
$50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{2}\right)$, and the organic solution was concentrated to give crude 13. The crude product was recrystallized in pure EtOH to give 4.84 g of pure 13 ( $57 \%$ ): $\operatorname{mp} 156-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.75$ (d, $J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-$ $3.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-$ $1.80(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.40$ (overlapping, 1 H ), 1.42 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{33} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , acetone- $d_{8}$ ) $\delta 172.7,158.3,142.4$, $129.5,127.3,80.1,43.7,37.3,28.3,22.0,21.2,14.2$, IR ( $\mathrm{CDBr}_{3}$ ) 1691, 1538, 1496, $1451,1278 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left[[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+6.84^{\circ}\left(c=0.92, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 49.87; $\mathrm{H}, 5.95 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.18$. Found: C, 49.80; H, 6.00; N, 9.16.
(1R,2S)-1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-[(2-toluene-sulfonyl)guanidino)methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid. The methylthio derivative 13 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 3 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, and the solution was saturated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{ACH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(21.23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) was added over 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred for 3.5 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting yellow solid ( AgSMe ) was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and MeOH ( 5 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give 30 mg of BOC-E-cyclo-rg'(Ts) as a colorless solid ( $62 \%$ ). This solid was purified by precipitation from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$. In a larger synthesis, 4.51 g of the starting material 13 was used in a similar way. After concentration of the filtrate, the crude product was partitioned between ETOAc ( 250 mL ) and 0.5 M citric acid ( 60 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the organic solution was concentrated to give crude material, which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $3.83 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of pure BOC-E-cyclo-Arg'(Ts): $\mathrm{mp} 145-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) 6.97 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.45-6.18 (br, 2 H ), $6.00-5.76$ (br, 1 H$), 3.48-3.20$ (br, 1 H ), $3.15-2.94$ (br, 1 H ), 2.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.55-1.34$ (br, 1 H ), 1.330.94 (br, 2 H ), 1.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{1{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.5$, 156.1, 141.3, 128.8, 125.7, 125.6, 79.1, 31.2, 28.1, 21.8, 21.4, 21.1; $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right) 1739,1635,1542,1280 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+56.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=0.75$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{O}): \mathrm{C}, 48.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.35$; $\mathrm{N}, 12.61$. Found: C, $48.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.14 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.29$.
( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-[[[(meth-ylthio)[(4-methoxy-2,3,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)imino]-methyl]amino]methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid (14). To a solution of $12(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 140 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{\infty} \mathrm{O}$ ( $10: 1$ ) was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(620 \mathrm{mg})$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h under an $\mathrm{H}_{\infty}$ atmosphere at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with $90 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give 4.61 g of the crude amine: mp $138-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 3.30-$ $3.05(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.27$ (overlapping $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.29 (s, 9 H ), 1.17 (dd, $J=9.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 176.9,158.1,81.2,39.3,38.2,27.7,25.2,20.0 ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-11.4$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(c=1.26, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.
To a solution of the crude amine $(4.61 \mathrm{~g}, 20.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $S, S$-dimethyl $N$-) 4 -methoxy-2,3,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)carbonimidodithioate ( $6.01 \mathrm{~g}, 18.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.9$ equiv) in EtOH ( 100 mL ) was added a 2 N NaOH solution ( $11.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 4.5 h . After concentration of the reaction solution, the residue was dissolved in 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aquous solution was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5$ ), acidified with solid citric acid ( 10 g ), and extracted with EtOAc ( 150 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water and brine and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the organic solution was concentrated to give 9.31 g of the crude acid 14 ( $77 \%$ ): mp $107-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.25-8.12, $7.39-7.29$ (br, $1 \mathrm{H}, 2$ rotamers), 7.78 (s, 2 H ), 6.51 (s, 1 H ), $5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.37$ (br, 2 H ), 2.68, 2.67 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 2$ rotamers), 2.57 (s, 3 H ), 2.41, 2.29 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, 2$ rotamers), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.97-1.76 (br, 1H), 1.73-1.57 (br, 1H), 1.53-1.33 (overlapping, 1H), 1.39 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}^{2} \delta 175.5,168.0$, $164.2,158.8,158.6,157.3,138.8,137.6,132.4,124.7,111.7,80.8$, $55.4,42.8,37.0,30.5,28.2,24.0,22.6,18.5,14.1,11.9 ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+$ $11.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.24, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 51.24$; H, 6.45; N, 8.15. Found: C, 51.46; H, 6.87; N, 7.71.
( $1 R, 2 S$ )-1-[ $N$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino]-2-[[2-(4-meth-oxy-2,3-,5-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)guanidino]methyl]-
cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid (15). The methylthio derivative $14(9.01 \mathrm{~g}, 17.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 30 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, and the solution was saturated with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gas at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\left(4.09 \mathrm{~g}, 24.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.38\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 2.5 h at about $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting yellow solid (AgSMe) was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in $10 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 100 mL ). The aqueous solution was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(120 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, acidified with 2 N citric acid ( 30 mL ), and extracted with EtOAc $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum to give 8.35 g of crude $15(96 \%)$. A small amount of this material was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}, 93\right.$ : 5.0:2.0) and characterized spectroscopically: mp $170-173^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.03-6.67(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.55-6.25$ (br, 2H), 6.05-5.93 (br, 1H), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.53$ (br, 1 H ), $3.36-3.12(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90-1.69(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 175.2,158.5,156.9,156.2,156.1,138.6,136.7,124.8$, 111.7, 80.5, 55.4, 39.6, 37.4, 30.6, 28.3, 24.1, 22.6, 18.3, 14.1, 11.9; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}\right) 1631,1553,1398,1307,1127,1169,1122 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $+4.0 .4^{\circ}\left(c=1.55, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}$, 52.05; H, 6.66; N, 11.56. Found: C, 51.66, H, 7.05; N, 11.09.
(1R,2S)-1-[ $N$-[(9-Fluorenylmethoxy)carbonyl]amino]2[ [2-(4-methoxy $\dagger 2,3,5$-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl)guanidino]-methyl]cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acid. Crude compound $15(3.95 \mathrm{~g}, 8.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CCL}_{4}(42 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, TFA $(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for 20 $\min$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was concentrated, neutralized by adding 2 N NaOH solution, and lyophilized, and the residue was used for next step. Thus, this amine was dissolved in $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(14.68 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\omega}} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FMOC-OSu $(2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 7.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was added in one portion, and the mixture was stirred for 8 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water ( 250 mL ) was added and the solution was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$, acidified with citric acid ( 18 g ), and extracted with EtOAc ( 500 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the product was purified on a silica gel column $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} /\right.$ $\mathrm{AcOH}, 92: 7.0: 1.0$ ). AFter concentration of the product batches, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, followed by $n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ to precipitate the product. The white solid was filtered and dried to give 3.37 g of pure FMOC-E-Cyclo-Arg'(Mtr) ( $68 \%$ ): mp $141-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.51$ (br, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.42 (br, 1H), 5.82-5.35 (br, 2H0, 4.47-4.19 (br, 2H), 4.18-4.05 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.71-3.45 (br, 1H), 3.40$3.13(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.72(\mathrm{br}$, 1 H ), 1.70-1.56 (br, 1 H ), 1.50-1.33 (br, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.1,158.6,157.5,156.1,143.7,141.2,138.6,136.7,127.7$, 127.1, 125.2, 124.9, 119.9, 111.7, 67.2, 55.4, 47.0, 37.6, 24.1, 22.8, 18.3, 11.9; IR ( $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ ) 2253, 1712, 1624, 1552, 1465, 1308, 1253, $1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+13.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.81$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.97$. Found: C, $59.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.91$; N, 8.87.
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